

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,342	04/20/2001	Joseph I. Kravitz	E14.2-9321	1879	
490	7590 06/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A.			MARKOFF, A	MARKOFF, ALEXANDER	
	CIRCLE DRIVE		ADTIGUT	DADED MINIDED	
SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETON	NKA, MN 55343-9185		1746		
			DATE MARK CD. 06/10/200	<	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/839,342	KRAVITZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Markoff	1746	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of this d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	May 2005					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 and 28-31 is/a 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 21-27 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	re withdrawn from conside	ration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A Ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	<b>,</b>			
Attachment(s)			,			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/839,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/17/05 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Troller (Sanitation in Food Processing) in view of Lange (Detergents and Cleaners. A Handbook for Formulators).

Troller teaches that Clean-in-Place (CIP) systems are conventionally used in dairy operations and shows a conventional sequence of a CIP cleaning. See pages 44-51.

Troller teaches that the conventional CIP operation comprises the claimed steps of flushing and washing.

Thus, Troller teaches the claimed method except for specific recitation of the use of the specifically claimed neutralized anionic polymers as surfactants.

Lange in his Handbook evidences that such surfactants were conventional in CIP formulations for food processing industry. Lange further provides a discussion regarding properties of the referenced polymers. See pages 124-132.

Having the teachings of these two cited handbooks it would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made that the surfactant polymers, as claimed were conventionally used in the conventional CIP operations in dairy industry, thereby it would have been obvious that conventional CIP process utilizing surfactants recited by Lange meets the limitations of the claimed method.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicants have amended the claims to direct them to clean-in-place method for dairy industry.

Art Unit: 1746

Two handbooks were cited in the rejection above to show that the pending claims recite conventional application of the surfactants conventional for CIP formulations.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER

AM